

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Power Sources 146 (2005) 645-649



www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Improvement of structural integrity and battery performance of LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ by Al and Ti doping

Seung-Taek Myung¹, Shinichi Komaba^{*}, Norimitsu Hirosaki, Kiyoharu Hosoya, Naoaki Kumagai

Department of Frontier Materials and Functional Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Iwate University, 4-3-5 Ueda, Morioka, Iwate 020-8551, Japan

Available online 25 May 2005

Abstract

 $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O_2, and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2 were prepared via the emulsion drying method. The as-prepared material statemetric statemetris statem$ rials showed different degrees of cation mixing. Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction data revealed that Al and Ti doping in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ was significantly effective to decrease the cation mixing in the octahedral Li layers. The cation mixing consequently affected to the charge and discharge capacities. The irreversible capacity was the smallest for the Al doped LiNi0.5Mn0.5O2, which showed the smallest cation mixing. Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5} $Mn_{0.5}O_2$ delivered a stable capacity of about 175 mAh g⁻¹ with high reversibility. Such higher capacities were possible to be obtained by the achievement of structural stabilization and enhancement of structural integrity by Al and Ti doping in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery; Cathode; Lithium intercalation; Emulsion drying method

1. Introduction

 $LiMO_2$ (M = Co, Ni, Mn) is of great interest for application in rechargeable Li-ion battery system. Even though LiNiO₂ exhibits relatively higher capacity, the material shows irreversible phase transitions during charge and discharge, which may cause capacity decrease on cycling. Also, the exothermic decomposition of LiNiO₂ in a highly oxidized state at higher temperature (~ 200 °C) raises greater safety concerns [1].

Recently, LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ was introduced by Ohzuku and Makimura [2] and Dahn and coworkers [3]. They suggested that the average oxidation state of Ni and Mn in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ are 2+ and 4+, respectively, and the electrochemical reaction is mainly based on Ni^{2+/4+} redox couple between 2.5 and 4.6 V versus Li⁰. Because the

electrochemically inactive Mn⁴⁺ provides structural stability by stable electronic configuration like Li₂MnO₃-NiO solid solution, the material shows a stable high capacity of about $150 \,\mathrm{mAh}\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$, good cyclability, and thermal stability upon cycling in the voltage range.

However, it was known that powder preparation of $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2$ is not so easy by a conventional ceramic method. In order to synthesize LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, most of research groups have selected co-precipitation method to prepare double-transition hydroxide, i.e., Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}(OH)₂ as a precursor. Purity of a large amount of transition metal doped hydroxide as a precursor played a significant role to decide the quality of the targeted materials.

In this work, the process of the metal double hydroxide preparation was successfully replaced by employing an emulsion drying method, as we reported systematically. Previously, we have successfully prepared the wide solid solution range of spinel type $LiAl_xMn_{2-x}O_4$ [4] and layered $LiMn_xCr_{1-x}O_2$ [5]. Here, we report on powder structural and electrochemistry of layered Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ prepared by the emulsion drying method.

^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Tokyo University of Science, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162 8601, Japan. Tel.: +81 3 5228 8749; fax: +81 3 5228 8749.

E-mail address: komaba@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp (S. Komaba).

¹ Present address: VK Corporation, 67 Jije-Dong, Pyongtaek-City, Kyonggi-Do 450-090, South Korea.

^{0378-7753/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.03.083

2. Experimental

Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ powders were prepared by the emulsion drying method. We previously reported details of the emulsion drying method [6]. Starting materials used for the synthesis of Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ were LiNO₃ (Kanto), Mn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Kanto), Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Kanto), Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Kanto), and $Ti[OCH(CH_3)_2]_4$ (Aldrich). In the starting emulsion, the atomic ratios of Li/(Ni + Mn), Li/(Ni + Mn + Al) and Li/(Ni + Mn + Ti) were 1.25. The obtained powder precursors were preliminary annealed at 400 °C for 6 h in air to oxidize Mn^{II} into Mn^{IV}, because the average oxidation state of starting Mn source was 2+. And then, it was calcined at 950 °C for 12 h in air. The resulting powders were thoroughly washed with distilled water to remove residual lithium salt, then dried at 110 °C. To understand structure of the prepared materials, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out using a K α radiation of Rigaku Rint 2200 diffractormeter. The collected intensity data of XRD were analyzed by the Rietveld refinement program, Fullprof 2000 [7].

For electrode preparation, the prepared powders from the emulsion-dried precursor were mixed with 5 wt.% of graphite, 10 wt.% of acetylene black and 5 wt.% of polyvinylidene fluoride binder in *N*-methyl pyrrolidinone until a slurry was obtained. The slurry was pasted onto Al foil, and the electrodes were dried at 120 °C for 1 h, and then rollpressed and dried at 80 °C for 1 day under vacuum state. For electrochemical investigation, a coin-type cell (2032) consisted of cathode, lithium foil as an anode, separator and 1 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate:diethylcarbonate (2:1 in volume) as an electrolyte. The assembly of the cells was carried out in an Ar-filled glove box. The cells were firstly charged, and then discharged between 4.6 and 2.7 V versus Li⁰ with current densities of 20 mA g⁻¹ at 25 °C.

In situ XRD data were obtained using a Rigaku Rint 2200 diffractormeter from $2\theta = 10^{\circ}$ to 70° , with a step size of 0.03° and a count time of 5 s. The in situ cell and attachment were fabricated by Rigaku Inc., with a polypropylene film window fitted in the base of the cell to avoid the corrosion of a conventional beryllium window at higher potentials. The cell was charged and discharged with a current density of 20 mA g⁻¹ (C/7). The lattice parameters were calculated by the following method: the positions of the individual peaks were fitted with a psuedo-Voigt or Lorentz function, and typically 30 or 35 peak positions were input to fit the program which minimizes the least-squares difference between the calculated and measured peak positions by adjusting the lattice constant and the vertical displacement of the sample.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 and Table 1 show Rietveld refinement results of XRD patterns of the synthesized powders; LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O₂, and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O₂. The



Fig. 1. Rietveld refinement XRD patterns of (a) $LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O_2$ and (b) $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2$ calcined at 950 °C for 12 h in air.

resulting XRD peaks are quite narrow, which indicates high crystallinity. The prepared materials have α-NaFeO₂ structure (space group: $R\bar{3}m$) and can be indexed as hexagonal lattice. In all cases, a single phase was observed. From chemical analyses by atomic absorption spectroscopy, it was found that the final products have stoichiometric compositions. For the refinements, it was supposed that Ni²⁺ located at 3a sites can exchange the sites with Li⁺ occupied at 3b site due to similarity of their ionic radii (Li⁺: 0.69 Å, Ni²⁺: 0.76 Å [8]). A possibility of the cation mixing was excluded for other elements due to difference in the ionic radii. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the observed and calculated patterns match well for the Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. The integrated intensity ratios of I_{003}/I_{104} were 0.993, 0.984 and 0.955 for Al and Ti doped and undoped ones, that is, the highest was obtained for the Al doped sample in Fig. 1a, which indicates the lower cation mixing as it was also proved by Rietveld refinement in Table 1. This implies that the cation mixing could be suppressed by Al and Ti replacements. According to the Gibbs free energies of the formation at 298 K [9], it is

Structural parameters of LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂ , LiNi _{0.475} Al _{0.05} Mn _{0.475} O ₂ ,	and
LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.45} Ti _{0.05} O ₂ calcined at 950 °C for 12 h in air	

Table 1

Composition	a (Å)	c (Å)	Ni ²⁺ in Li layer (%)	<i>R</i> _{wp} (%)
LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	2.887 (7)	14.286 (11)	9.8	13.5
LiNi _{0.475} Al _{0.05} Mn _{0.475} O ₂	2.875 (2)	14.265 (11)	4.8	11.3
LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.45} Ti _{0.05} O ₂	2.889 (11)	14.300 (15)	5.1	4.70

able to deduce that the metal–oxygen bonding strength would be AI-O > Ti-O > Mn-O > Ni-O. Therefore, the stronger bonding stabilizes the host structure, resulting in enhancement of structural integrity.

Lattice parameters are greatly dependent on the doping elements in Table 1. Al doping resulted in decrease in both *a*- and *c*-axes. On the contrary, Mn replacement by Ti brought about increase in both *a*- and *c*-axes. It is closely related to the ionic radii of dopants; Al and Ti. Ionic radii can be summarize as follow: $\text{Li}^+ = 0.76 \text{ Å}$, $\text{Ni}^{2+} = 0.69 \text{ Å}$, $\text{Al}^{3+} = 0.535 \text{ Å}$, $\text{Mn}^{4+} = 0.530 \text{ Å}$, $\text{Ti}^{4+} = 0.60 \text{ Å}$ [8]. By consideration of the ionic radii, such variations in lattice parameters in Table 1 are fairly reasonable, obeying Vegard's law. This indicates that the emulsion drying method is effective synthetic method to prepare lithiated transition metal oxides.

Electrochemical properties of the prepared LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5} O₂, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O₂, and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O₂ were examined. The charge-discharge profiles between 2.7 and 4.6 V versus Li⁰ by applying 20 mA g^{-1} at $25 \degree \text{C}$ were shown in Fig. 2a-c. As shown in Fig. 2, all the samples initially delivered higher charge capacity over $200 \,\mathrm{mAh g^{-1}}$. However, the discharge capacity was dependent on the composition. LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ had an initial capacity of about $155 \,\mathrm{mAh}\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$. More badly, the capacity gradually faded to $130 \,\mathrm{mAh}\,\mathrm{g}^{-1}$ by electrochemical cycling. On contrast, Al and Ti doped samples delivered higher discharge capacity of about 175 mAh g^{-1} and the higher capacities were maintained during cycling. The coulombic efficiencies of the first cycle were about 73%, 88%, and 78% for LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O₂, and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O₂, respectively. It seems that such properties are related to cation mixing in the host structure. Because Ni²⁺ ions are located at octahedral Li sites so that the Ni2+ ions can block Li+ intercalation and diffusion. Since the progressive blocking of $\rm Li^+\,mi$ gration by Ni²⁺ in the Li layer exists, as can be seen in Fig. 2a, there was a gradual capacity fading for LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. For



Fig. 2. Continuous charge–discharge curves of (a) $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2$, (b) $LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O_2$ and (c) $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2$. The applied current density was 20 mA g^{-1} at 25 $^\circ$ C.

Ni and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, however, the cation mixing in the Li layer was suppressed effectively so that Li⁺ ions would be less blocked by Ni²⁺ ions, resulting in high capacity retention shown in Fig. 2b and c. Therefore, it was found that the enhancement of structural integrity by Al and Ti doping LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ made possible to be highly reversible Li⁺ de-/intercalation during cycling.

Fig. 3 illustrates in situ XRD patterns during the initial charge and discharge for $\text{LiNi}_{0.475}\text{Al}_{0.05}\text{Mn}_{0.475}\text{O}_2$, and $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.45}\text{Ti}_{0.05}\text{O}_2$. For the measurements, a constant current (20 mA g⁻¹) was applied across the cathode. Though the XRD pattern of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ was not shown here, the resulting diffraction patterns were similar to that of $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.45}\text{Ti}_{0.05}\text{O}_2$. One striking feature is the variation in (00 *l*) plane of $\text{LiNi}_{0.475}\text{Al}_{0.05}\text{Mn}_{0.475}\text{O}_2$ as a function of Li contents, comparing to $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_2$ and $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.45}\text{Ti}_{0.05}\text{O}_2$. The plane gradually moved toward lower angle during Li^+ extraction and it reversibly returned to higher angle by Li^+ insertion in Fig. 3a. For $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.45}\text{Ti}_{0.05}\text{O}_2$, however, the (00 *l*) plane first moved to lower angle and one point the plane moved back to higher angle in charge and discharge in Fig. 3b.

Such behaviors can be seen much clearly in the variation in *c*-axis shown in Fig. 4. For Al doped sample, the *c*-axis varies monotonously during Li^+ de-/intercalation. The similar behavior was also observed in Al doped LiCoO₂,



Fig. 3. In situ XRD patterns obtained during the initial deintercalation and intercalation of (a) $Li_{1-\delta}Ni_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O_2$ and (b) $Li_{1-\delta}Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2$. The applied current density was $20\,mA\,g^{-1}$ at $25\,^\circ\text{C}$.



Fig. 4. Variation in lattice parameters of LiNi $_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2$, LiNi $_{0.475}Al_{0.05}$ Mn $_{0.475}O_2$ and LiNi $_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2$ as a function of Li content during the first cycle.

as we previously reported [10]. For LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ and $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O_2$, however, the *c*-axis constant increased by when 0.5 mol of Li⁺ was extracted. Then, the value of axis parameter decreased in the first charge. The similar variation was also observed in Mn based $\text{LiMn}_x \text{Cr}_{1-x} \text{O}_2$ [5]. The increase in the *c*-axis parameter is due to the increase in coulombic (electrostatic) repulsion force between oxygenoxygen layers by ionic bond character. And, the decrease in the c-axis parameter at highly oxidized state is probably due to the formation of covalent bond between transition metal and oxygen resulting in less electrostatic repulsion between the oxygen–oxygen layers to contract the structure so that the *c*-axis parameter decreased drastically at the highly oxidized state. This structural change may be not preferable for cycle life in a practical cell, because the abrupt changes in the c-axis would be stressful in the host structure and this, in turn, give rise a gradual or slow capacity fading as shown in Fig. 2a and c, comparing to Fig. 2b. One interesting thing is that the phenomena is observed in the Al doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. Only a small amount of Al doping in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ led the ionic bond dominantly during Li⁺ de/intercalation process. Other composition also shows ionic bond dominantly [10-12]. Furthermore, the changes in *a*- and *c*-axes were smaller by Al and Ti doping in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ in Table 2. It is likely that the bonding energy of M-O would be interacted with the Al and Ti dopings so that the stronger bonding may effect to the constraint of movement of M-O, as we reported the simi-

Table 2

Difference of a and c axes, Δa and Δc , respectively, during the first charging of LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O₂ and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O₂ electrodes

Electrode	Δa (Å)	Δc (Å)
LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	0.051 (6)	0.171 (14)
LiNi _{0.475} Al _{0.05} Mn _{0.475} O ₂	0.046 (7)	0.137 (12)
LiNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.45} Ti _{0.05} O ₂	0.043 (7)	0.165 (9)

lar effect on spinel LiAl_xMn_{2-x}O₄ system [4]. The dramatic changes in the *a*- and *c*-axes may result from the high degree of cation mixing. The reason why Al doped sample shows a strong ionic bond character during charge and discharge is not clear at this time. Further extensive studies are necessary to elucidate this phenomenon.

4. Conclusion

By calcination of the emulsion-dried precursor at 950°C for 12h, it was able to obtain high crystalline LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂, LiNi_{0.475}Al_{0.05}Mn_{0.475}O₂, and LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.45}Ti_{0.05}O₂. Rietveld refinements revealed that the Al and Ti substitution could reduce the cation mixing of $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2$. The reduced cation mixing overcame the initial irreversibility, which is one of the main disadvantages of the parent compound. The effect consequently affected to the enhancement on the cycling performances. That is, higher capacities were retained upon cycling for Al and Ti doped LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. In situ XRD results showed that Al and Ti doped samples resulted in smaller lattice variation during Li⁺ extraction and insertion due to the achievement of structural stabilization and enhancement of structural integrity. Therefore, it is concluded that Al and Ti doping in LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂. lattice has positive effect in respect to reduced cation mixing and structural stabilization which consequently effect on improvement on capacity and its retention.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. N. Kumagai and Mr. S. Takahashi, Iwate University, for their helpful assistance in the experimental work. This study was supported by the program "Development of Rechargeable Lithium Battery with High Energy/Power Density for Vehicle Power Sources" of the Industrial Technology Research Grant Program from the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO) of Japan and the Saneyoshi Scholarship Foundation.

References

- [1] B. Ammundsen, J.M. Paulsen, Adv. Mater. 13 (2001) 943.
- [2] T. Ohzuku, Y. Makimura, Chem. Lett. 2001 (2001) 744.
- [3] Z. Lu, L.Y. Beaulieu, R.A. Donaberger, C.L. Thomas, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 149 (2002) A778.
- [4] S.-T. Myung, S. Komaba, N. Kumagai, J. Electrochem. Soc. 148 (2001) A482.
- [5] S.-T. Myung, S. Komaba, N. Hirosaki, N. Kumagai, K. Arai, R. Kodama, I. Nakai, J. Electrochem. Soc. 150 (2003) A1560.
- [6] S.-T. Myung, N. Kumagai, S. Komaba, H.-T. Chung, J. Appl. Electrochem. 30 (2000) 1081.
- [7] T. Roisnel, J. Rodriguez-Carjaval, Fullprof Manual, Institut Laue-Langevin, Grenoble, 2000.
- [8] R.D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr. A: Cryst. Phys. Diffr. Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 32 (1976) 751.

- [9] G.V. Samsonov, The Oxide Handbook, 2nd ed., IFI/Plenum Inc., USA, 1982, pp. 44–48.
- [10] S.-T. Myung, N. Kumagai, S. Komaba, H.-T. Chung, Solid State Ionics 139 (2001) 47.
- [11] Y.-I. Jang, B. Huang, H. Wang, D.R. Sadoway, G. Ceder, Y.-M. Chiang, H. Liu, H. Tamura, J. Electrochem. Soc. 146 (1999) 862.
- [12] M. Guilmard, C. Pouillerie, L. Croguennec, C. Delmas, Solid State Ionics 160 (2003) 39.